1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	LINITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT FOR THE
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8	AT 5.	LATTLE
9	BUSINESS LIC DARENE BARNES,	CASE NO. 2:17-cv-00083-RSM
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS
11	v. US EQUAL EMPLOYMENT,	WOTON TO DISMISS
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	This matter came before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. The Court has	
15	reviewed the Motion, all evidence submitted in support of the motion, and defendant's reply, as well	
16	as the documents on file, and is otherwise fully informed. Plaintiff has failed to file a Response or	
17	otherwise communicate with the Court in this matter. The failure to file a response "may be	
18	considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit." Local Civil Rule 7(b)(2). The	
19	Court finds that Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and that	
20	dismissal with prejudice is warranted given Plaintiff's lack of response to the instant Motion and for	
	l	

22

23

24

the other reasons stated by Defendant in its Motion. See Dkt. #4 at 4-5.

Therefore, it is hereby ordered that the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED and all 1 || of plaintiff's claims against Defendant are dismissed with prejudice. DATED this 22 day of March 2017. RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE